IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE FOR THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT NASHVILLE

	No
v.) AMERICAN SHELL CORPORATION,)	No
AMERICAN SHELL CORPORATION,)	No
AMERICAN SHELL CORPORATION,)	No
AMERICAN SHELL CORPORATION,) D/B/A FACTORY JEWELERS, and)	
D/B/A FACTORY JEWELERS, and)	
UNITED STATES PEARL COMPANY,)	
INC., both Tennessee corporations,	
)	
Respondents.	

PETITION

Paul G. Summers, Attorney General and Reporter for the State of Tennessee, (hereinafter "Attorney General"), files this Petition pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-107 of the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act of 1977 (hereinafter "the Act"), and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

- 1. The Attorney General, acting pursuant to the Act, has investigated certain acts and practices of American Shell Corporation, d/b/a Factory Jewelers, and United States Pearl Company, both Tennessee corporations (hereinafter, "Respondents" or "Factory Jewelers"). Upon completion of such investigation, the Attorney General has determined that certain of Respondents' acts and practices, more specifically described in Paragraph 2 of this Petition, constitute unfair and deceptive acts or practices affecting the conduct of trade or commerce in the State of Tennessee in violation of Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-101 *et seq.* (the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act). More specifically, Respondent's conduct is violative of Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 47-18-104(a), (b)(11), (b)(17), (b)(23), (b)(27), (b)(29) and (b)(30).
- 2. Based upon the investigation of Respondents, the Attorney General alleges the following:
 - (A) Respondents are Tennessee corporations. For approximately 10 years,

Respondents have operated jewelry stores in Hermitage and Camden, Tennessee.

(B) On or about October 29, 1999, Respondents announced that their Hermitage store location would be going out of business.

(C) Respondents did not obtain a liquidation sale permit for the sale, pursuant to

Tenn. Code Ann. § 6-55-401, et seq.

For significantly more than 90 days, Respondents' Hermitage jewelry store

location advertised their going out of business sale in newspapers, television, billboards and store signs. For example, the November 5, 1999 edition of *The*

Tennessean contained an advertisement promoting Respondents' going out of

business sale.

(D)

(G) Prior to being contacted by the State, Respondents were holding monies of 10 consumers for layaways which the consumer had not received because

Respondents could not locate the consumer.

(H) Respondents' conduct constitutes unfair and deceptive acts or practices.

3. Respondents neither admit nor deny the allegations of Paragraph 2 (A-H).

4. The Attorney General entered into negotiations with Respondents and the parties have

agreed to, and the Division has approved, the attached Assurance of Voluntary Compliance.

5. In accordance with the provisions of Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-107(c), the execution,

delivery and filing of the Assurance does not constitute an admission of prior violation of the Act.

6. The Division, the Attorney General, and the Respondents, the parties who are primarily

interested in the matters set forth in Paragraph 2 hereof, have jointly agreed to the Assurance of

Voluntary Compliance and join in its filing.

PREMISES CONSIDERED, Petitioner prays

1. That this Petition be filed without cost bond pursuant to the provisions of Tenn. Code

Ann. §§ 20-13-101 and 47-18-116.

2. That the Assurance of Voluntary Compliance be approved and filed in accordance with

the provisions of the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act.

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL G. SUMMERS Attorney General and Reporter B.P.R. No. 6285 CAROLYN UNDERWOOD SMITH Assistant Attorney General B.P.R. No. 17166 Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General 35408